***Eleutheria***

***Quantitative Reviewer Form***

**Part 1. LikertScale Review**

(Complete this chart along with the corresponding narrative. You will submit it online via the “Submit Review” Link.)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Excellent  | Good  | Fair | Poor | N/A |
| Content  |
| The manuscript exhibits an in-depth understanding of the topic. |  |  |  |  |  |
| The manuscript exhibits a broad understanding of the topic. |  |  |  |  |  |
| It is evident that the author is aware and understands much of the literature on this topic. |  |  |  |  |  |
| The manuscript seems to be well researched and footnoted. |  |  |  |  |  |
| The manuscript contributes something new to its area of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| The manuscript is helpful for Christian learning, ministry, preaching, or teaching.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The manuscript contributes something of value to Evangelical thought or praxis.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subject  |
| The topic is appropriate to the journal. |  |  |  |  |  |
| The topic is relevant to the scholarly milieu of the day. |  |  |  |  |  |
| The topic is novel and unique. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Format |
| The manuscript has correct formatting in Turabian style. |  |  |  |  |  |
| The footnotes are accurate and use correct citation. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Writing  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The writing is clear and clearly conveys the message of the manuscript. |  |  |  |  |  |
| The author exhibits good writing techniques. |  |  |  |  |  |
| The author’s writing flows and is easy to read. |  |  |  |  |  |
| The author uses appropriate language (no unnecessary jargon, etc.). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argumentation |
| The thesis, premises, and conclusions are clearly stated. |  |  |  |  |  |
| The conclusions follow from the premises. |  |  |  |  |  |
| The argument is logically cohesive. |  |  |  |  |  |
| There is adequate evidence supporting the premises. |  |  |  |  |  |

**Part 2. Narrative Review**

Please provide a narrative in regard to each of these topics to support your ratings above.

1. Content
2. Subject
3. Format
4. Writing
5. Argumentation

**Part 3. Reviewer Recommendation**

(You will indicate your recommendation here and online via the “Submit Review” Link.)

Please indicate one of the recommendations below when submitting your report.

1. Accept with no revisions
2. Accept with minor revisions
3. Accept with major revisions
4. Reject
5. Inappropriate for journal

**Part 4. Cover Letter**

This will be your chance to communicate privately with the editors of *Eleutheria*. There will be a section on the online submission site where you may write privately to the editors of *Eleutheria*. This document will be shared with the author, but the Cover Letter section will be private.