

# Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies in Liberty University Student Population

Emily J. Bonus  
Department of Mathematics  
Honors Thesis



# Outline

- Introduction & Background
- Research Question
- Study Design & Methods
- Results & Discussion
- Significant Conclusions
- Recommendations & Future Research



# Introduction: Antibodies and Vaccines

## Antibodies

- Produced by B cells in the human immune system
- Created for a specific antigen
- Types that respond to viruses
  - IgM – short-term for acute infections
  - IgG – long-term immunity

## Vaccines

- Introduce a weakened version or piece of the virus
- The antigen is attacked and memory T cells are created
- New mRNA vaccines instead cause the body's cells to produce the antigens themselves, hoping for the same effect



# Background: SARS-CoV-2

- SARS-CoV-2 causes COVID-19
- Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 do not last as long as others
  - IgM – mean 35 days
  - IgG – mean 49 days
  - Reinfection is common and full immunity seems unlikely
- The COVID-19 vaccine uses mRNA
  - Does not prevent infections
  - May lower transmissibility and/or viral load
- Research is new and incomplete



# Research Problem

Residential university students have many personal contacts and low rates of COVID-19 complications

During early 2021, COVID-19 tests were regularly given, antibody testing was common, and vaccines became available

Study Question: What is the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in the LU residential undergraduate student population and how does it correlate with vaccination status and antibody-type fluctuations?



# Study Design

## Longitudinal observational study

- Conducted by Dr. Rockabrand and Dr. DeWitt
- Method: Measured SARS-CoV-2 antibodies with Healgen Covid-19 IgG/IgM Rapid Test Cassette and gathered student information on a survey
- Test one: 02/08/2021 – 02/11/2021, test two: 04/14/2021– 04/22/2021
- Sample: 107 Liberty University undergraduate health sciences students

## Statistical Analysis

- Used the R statistical programming language
- Extensive data cleaning to make the information usable
- Summarizing the data's important details
- Running ANOVA, *t*-tests, and GLMs to find significant correlations



# Data Cleaning

## Correcting syntactic and semantic errors

- There were inconsistencies in how various volunteers recorded the data
- Coding schemes were introduced to represent the information consistently

## Correcting coverage anomalies

- Missing data points existed for many participants
- Any participant that did not have data for both time points was removed, as well as if they were missing a significant amount of information at either time

## Challenges with using pre-existing data

- The data was collected without knowledge of its future usage
- Inconsistencies, lack of quantitative data, and convenience sampling occurred
- Thus, GLMs are not usable and assumptions may not be met



# Results: General Seropositivity

	Survey 1 Administered 2/2021			Survey 2 Administered 4/2021		
	Total	Seropositive	Percent	Total	Seropositive	Percent
Overall	105	27	26%	105	38	36%
Male	16	7	44%	16	9	56%
Female	89	20	22%	89	28	31%
In a dorm	81	24	30%	81	30	37%
Off Campus	24	3	13%	24	7	29%
Positive Test	20	9	45%	8	4	50%
Negative Test	49	10	20%	26	8	31%
No COVID Test	33	8	24%	71	25	35%
Illness	19	6	32%	18	6	33%
No Illness	84	21	25%	85	30	35%
Had Close Contact	70	21	30%	49	18	37%
No Close Contact	26	5	19%	55	19	35%
Vaccinated	4	3	75%	22	15	68%
Unvaccinated	98	24	24%	83	22	27%





# Results: ANOVA for IgG & IgM

ANOVA TABLE FOR IGG ANTIBODIES IN APRIL

Factor	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Prior IgG Antibodies	1	8.103	8.103	66.08	1.13e-12
Older COVID-19 Infection	1	1.401	1.401	11.42	0.00103
Recent Vaccination	1	2.359	2.359	19.23	2.84e-05
Residuals	101	12.385	0.123		

ANOVA TABLE FOR IGM ANTIBODIES IN APRIL

Factor	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Prior IgM Antibodies	1	1.847	1.8465	17.151	7.17e-05
Recent COVID-19 Infection	1	0.616	0.6165	5.726	0.01856
Recent Vaccination	1	0.911	0.9109	8.461	0.00446
Residuals	101	10.874	0.1077		



# Discussion: Seropositivity Prevalence

- Both seropositivity and vaccination rates increased during the study but were well below national levels
- Having symptoms of illness or close contact with an ill person did not correlate with seropositivity
- Vaccination and positive COVID tests were correlated with seropositivity
- Antibodies fluctuated more than expected, with some antibodies disappearing altogether and others going from IgG to both types



# Discussion: IgG & IgM ANOVA Results

It was shown that natural infection gives short-lived IgM antibodies quickly and long-lived IgG antibodies after a period, as expected

- This is the expected behavior
- However, seropositive participants could still be infected
- IgG did not persist as long as expected

Vaccination gives short-lived IgM and IgG antibodies rapidly

- Unexpected because IgG generally take longer to appear
- Explains the need for frequent boosters
- COVID-19 IgM antibodies may persist as long as IgG in some cases



# Significant Conclusions

- SARS-CoV-2 antibodies have more fluctuations and significantly shorter durations than expected
- IgG antibodies are correlated with recent vaccination and older infection, while IgM are correlated with recent vaccination and recent infection
- Neither infection nor vaccination can guarantee seropositivity and it cannot guarantee protection from infection



# Relevance & Recommendations

## Field of Statistics

- This study follows best practices for using the data without misinterpreting results while using a variety of common biostatistical tests
- GLMs are uninterpretable without a continuous independent variable
- Data cleaning techniques must be tailored to each unique problem

## Medical Field

- Contributes to the understanding that immunity to SARS-CoV-2 is unlikely, regardless of vaccination status or prior infections
- Continue to study university students because of their unique circumstances
- Recognize and study the differences between vaccine-initiated and infection-initiated antibodies



# Future Research

## Questions arising during the study

- Why does antibody production differ between infection and vaccination?
- Does vaccination give greater short-term protection from infection?
- Do LU students have the same general trends as students from other universities?

## Ways to improve the study

- Increase the sample size and improve sampling techniques
- Collect more quantitative data about the timing of COVID infections and vaccinations
- Expand to more universities and/or other age groups



# Acknowledgments

## Thesis Chair:

Dr. David Schweitzer, Ph.D.

## Faculty Researchers:

Dr. David Rockabrand, Ph.D.

Dr. David DeWitt, Ph.D.



# References

- [1] D. W. Eyre *et al.*, “Effect of Covid-19 Vaccination on Transmission of Alpha and Delta Variants,” *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 286, no. 12, February, 2022. [Online Serial]. Available: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2116597>. [Accessed Dec. 19, 2022].
- [2] R. L. Tillett *et al.*, “Genomic evidence for reinfection with SARS-CoV-2: a case study,” *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 52-58, October, 2020. [Online Serial]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30764-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30764-7). [Accessed Jan. 9, 2023].
- [3] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, “Risk for COVID-19 infection, hospitalization, and death by age group,” *cdc.gov*, Nov. 8, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/covid-data/investigations-discovery/hospitalization-death-by-age.html>. [Accessed Dec. 19, 2022].
- [4] J. Kopel, H. Goyal, and A. Perisetti, “Antibody tests for COVID-19,” *Baylor University Medical Center Proceedings*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 63-72, October, 2020. [Online Serial]. Available: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/08998280.2020.1829261>. [Accessed Jan. 5, 2023].
- [5] D. Jacofsky, E. M. Jacofsky, and M. Jacofsky, “Understanding Antibody Testing for COVID-19,” *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 35, no. 7, pp. S74-S81, April, 2020. [Online Serial]. Available: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7184973/>. [Accessed Jan. 5, 2023].
- [6] B. Pulendran and R. Ahmed, “Immunological mechanisms of vaccination,” *Nature Immunology*, vol. 12, pp. 509-517, May, 2011. [Online Serial]. Available: <https://www.nature.com/articles/ni.2039?report=reader>. [Accessed Jan. 5, 2023].





# References

- [7] F. P. Polack *et al.*, “Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine,” *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 383, pp. 2603-2615, December, 2020. [Online Serial]. Available: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2034577>. [Accessed Jan. 5, 2023].
- [8] D. C. Montgomery, E. A. Peck, and G. G. Vining, *Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2021.
- [9] W. W. Daniel and C. L. Cross, *Biostatistics: A Foundation for Analysis in the Health Sciences*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2018.
- [10] X. Chen, P. Ender, M. Mitchell, and C. Wells, “Additional coding systems for categorical variables in regression analysis” in *Regression with SPSS*. UCLA, 2011. [Online] Available: <https://stats.oarc.ucla.edu/sas/webbooks/reg/chapter5/regression-with-saschapter-5-additional-coding-systems-for-categorical-variables-in-regressionanalysis/>.
- [11] R. H. Myers, D. C. Montgomery, G. G. Vining, and T. J. Robinson, *Generalized Linear Models: With Applications in Engineering and the Sciences*, ed. 2. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2010.
- [12] P. McCullagh and J. A. Nelder, *Generalized Linear Models*, ed. 4. London, UK: Routledge, 2019.
- [13] M. J. Hayat and M. Higgins, “Understanding poisson regression,” *Journal of Nursing Education*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 207-215, March, 2014. [Online Serial]. Available: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24654593/>. [Accessed Dec. 20, 2022].



# References

- [14] G. Grover, A. S. A. Sabharwal, and J. Mittal, “An application of gamma generalized linear model for estimation of survival function of diabetic nephropathy patients,” *International Journal of Statistics in Medical Research*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 209-219, July, 2013. [Online Serial]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6000/1929-6029.2013.02.03.6>. [Accessed Dec. 20, 2022].
- [15] J. D. Canary, L. Blizzard, R. P. Barry, D. W. Hosmer, and S. J. Quinn, “Summary goodness-of-fit statistics for binary generalized linear models with noncanonical link functions,” *Biometrical Journal*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 674-690, May, 2016. [Online Serial]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.201400079>. [Accessed Dec. 20, 2022].
- [16] A. Agresti, *Foundations of linear and generalized linear models*, Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2015.
- [17] H. Müller and J. C. Freytag, “Problems , Methods , and Challenges in Comprehensive Data Cleansing,” *Professoren des Inst. Für Informatik*, 2005. [Online Serial]. Available: <https://tarjomefa.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/3229-English.pdf>. [Accessed Dec. 20, 2022].
- [18] J. I. Maletic and A. Marcus, “Data cleansing: A prelude to knowledge discovery,” in *Data mining and knowledge discovery handbook*. Boston, MA: Springer, 2009. [Online] Available: [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-0-387-09823-4\\_2](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-0-387-09823-4_2)
- [19] Covid Act Now, “Data API,” *Act Now Coalition*. [Online]. Available: <https://covidactnow.org/data-api>. [Accessed Nov 7, 2021].
- [20] R.V. Hogg, E. A. Tanis, and D. Zimmerman, *Probability and Statistical Inference*, ed. 10. Hoboken, NJ: Pearson, 2020.



Questions?

