

Post-Conflict Reconstruction Lessons In Technology
Learning from Africa and the Middle East

Kevin Wheeler

A Senior Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for graduation
in the Honors Program
Liberty University
Spring 2014

Acceptance of Senior Honors Thesis

This Senior Honors Thesis is accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for graduation from the Honors Program of Liberty University.

Stephen Bowers, Ph.D.
Thesis Chair

Charles Murphy, Ph.D.
Committee Member

Robert Van Engen, Ph.D.
Committee Member

Brenda Ayres, Ph.D.
Honors Director

Date

Abstract

The United States of America has accomplished some advanced technological and developmental achievements recently. However, in order to better its abilities to reconstruct and stabilize nations in the future it must utilize the lessons it has learned from the past as well as these technological advancements. From both Africa and the Middle East, the United States has learned some valuable lessons in helping nations rebuild in the face of danger. Currently, the United States can use internet technologies to better educate those who would want to reconstruct their own nations as a united people group with as little foreign interference as possible. This in combination with past lessons learned can help the United States to become more efficient and capable of reconstructing post-war nations.

Post-Conflict Reconstruction Lessons In Technology

Learning from Africa and the Middle East

Literature Review

There are many articles and papers on the experiences that the United States of America has had in the various countries where it has tried to help rebuild and even improve war-torn and disaster-stricken nations. Some of these are written by African aid beneficiaries as they have received the impact of nation-building efforts for the last fifty years, while others are written by academics here in the United States or abroad such as the Middle East. The sources used in this paper contain many facts and arguments that will help to determine the best path for the United States to take in the future.

Africa presented the United States with many unique challenges, as addressed by many organizations and researchers. The case study “Post Conflict Reconstruction in Africa: Lessons from Sierra Leone,” by Gunter Bender, describes how and why there are still many challenges in this war-torn area and stresses the importance of supporting grass-roots efforts from within the country to rebuild its nation. Once one can help to balance the socioeconomic inequalities present, the people themselves will be galvanized to enact change for the better on their own populace’s terms instead of nation builders like the United States.¹ The Conflict Management Division of PeaceAU (African Union) details the current policies in place that the United Nations has had a part in reconstructing and developing the nations therein.² The peacebuilding efforts of the UN

1. Gunter Bender, “Post Conflict Reconstruction in Africa: Lessons from Sierra Leone,” *ProQuest.com*, June 2011, accessed from <http://www.liberty.edu:2048/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/917453436?accountid=12085> (accessed January 12, 2014).

2. Conflict Management Division, “Policy on Post-Conflict,” *PeaceAU.org*, July, 2006, accessed from <http://www.peaceau.org/uploads/perd-policy-framwovowork-eng.pdf> (accessed August 26, 2013).

worldwide and the mixed results thereof are addressed in Albert Cutillo's article for the International Peace Institute, "International Assistance to Countries Emerging from Conflict." Cutillo addresses the debate on how best to proceed in this area and how it has been translated into the operational side of things around the world.³ Pierre Englebert and Denis Tull's essay on Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Africa takes on the American reconstruction efforts throughout the African states. In this essay they list three "flawed assumptions" that Western state institutions have put stock in so that they may assist in the rebuilding of the failed states in Africa, similar to how Bender's views on how we can learn from Sierra Leone.⁴ The Center for Technology and National Security Policy puts out Defense and Technology Papers on various international policy issues. Their article "Learning from Darfur" argues that the key to support Africans in their desire to rebuild from decades of conflict is to partner with the various states that are willing in order to help develop their technologies and infrastructure and enable their own people to stop mass killings before they start so that they can survive without foreign intervention over every incident that pops up.⁵ Alcira Kreimer's *Uganda* details the World Bank's experience with the post conflict reconstruction effort in Uganda and how they have

3. Albert Cutillo, "International Assistance to Countries Emerging from Conflict," *IPInst.org*, February, 2006, accessed from http://www.ipinst.org/media/pdf/publications/cutillo_e_rpt.pdf (accessed November 20, 2013).

4. Pierre Englebert, "Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Africa," *Pomona.edu*, September 19, 2007, accessed from www.politics.pomona.edu/penglebert/State%20Rec%20Paper%20IS%20R&R%20Sep%2019%202007.pdf (accessed September 5, 2013).

5. David Gompert, Courtney Richardson, Richard Kugler, and Clifford Bernath, "Learning from Darfur," *CTNSP.DODlive.mil*, July, 2005, accessed from <http://ctnsp.dodlive.mil/2005/07/15/dtp-015-learning-from-darfur-building-a-net-capable-african-force-to-stop-mass-killing-2/> (accessed October 16, 2013).

achieved some success, but also explains why there continue to be shortcomings in the long-term stabilization of the Ugandan state.⁶

The Middle East has its own share of problematic issues as well, though their complications are unique to the region. Stuart Bowen's article, "Wanted," lists some of the things that he had noticed while abroad in Iraq. He lists how the United States was handling the nation reconstruction efforts in Iraq. Bowen suggests creating the United States Office for Contingency Operations as an alternative method to save money and even lives in the future as they could use the same new organization for future reconstruction and nation building efforts.⁷ Ambassador Paul Bremer describes, in his 2003 transcript of a briefing he held from Baghdad, Iraq, the current status of America's reconstruction efforts in Iraq and how he thought they were doing compared to their goals. He further explains that they need to partner closely with the Iraqi people if they want to enable them to be self-sufficient. He also warns that fixing the economy could take quite a while. Bremer concludes his briefing by detailing how the United States has set up the interim Iraqi government in hopes of establishing something more permanent in the future.⁸ The geopolitical intelligence firm Stratfor publishes new essays most weeks that touch on relevant policy concerns as well. Robert Kaplan of Stratfor wrote "The Death of Defense," in which he describes how Americans are ready and willing to commit to a smaller military presence worldwide, as they are sick and tired of the wars in

6. Alcira Kreimer, *Uganda: Post-Conflict Reconstruction: Country Case Evaluation* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank, 2000).

7. Stuart Bowen, "Wanted," *DefenseOne.com*, July 31, 2013, accessed from <http://www.defenseone.com/ideas/2013/07/someone-watch-over-oco-why-pentagon-needs-permanent-nation-building-watchdog/67865/> (accessed August 28, 2013).

8. Paul Bremer, "Briefing on Reconstruction Efforts," *Defense.gov*, June 12, 2003, accessed from <http://www.defense.gov/transcripts/transcript.aspx?transcriptid=2737> (accessed August 28, 2013).

the Middle East and elsewhere. However, the threats in today's world are often far more complex and hidden than ever before, warranting a large force capable of handling threats globally because no one is willing or able to take over the spot the United States now holds.⁹ Robert Orr writes in *Winning the Peace: an American Strategy for Post-Conflict Reconstruction* on how the post-conflict situations in many of these nations create a power vacuum that is conducive to terrorist cells and their growing exploitation of the people groups within in his book.¹⁰ He further discloses that if regional stability and economic stability are to be maintained, then the United States needs to come up with a better strategy for post-conflict reconstruction. Without a more effective strategy, these nations will continue to be plagued by terrorist cells and will see more violence spread throughout the region. Andrew Rathmell in "Planning post-conflict reconstruction in Iraq: What can we learn?" delves into how the number of reconstruction and peacekeeping efforts by the UN has skyrocketed and the American military has assumed the role not only of being peacekeepers, but also the role of being a temporary system of governance. He further claims that Iraq has been the most "ambitious and demanding" of America's reconstruction efforts to date.¹¹ The Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction's "Reform of Stabilization and Reconstruction Operations" describes the way that Iraq in particular has been handled and suggests ten ideas that could help to reform the stabilization and reconstruction methods that will be used in the future. Much

9. Robert Kaplan, "The Slow Death of Defense," *Stratfor.com*, October 30, 2013, accessed from <http://www.stratfor.com/weekly/slow-death-defense> (accessed November 22, 2013).

10. Robert Orr, *Winning the Peace: an American Strategy for Post-Conflict Reconstruction* (Washington, D.C.: CSIS Press, 2004).

11. Andrew Rathmell, "Planning Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Iraq: What Can We Learn?," *OnlineLibrary.Wiley.com*, October, 2005, accessed from <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2005.00500.x/full> (accessed January 14, 2014).

like in his later work, the Special Inspector (Stuart Bowen) proposes the organization of the USOCO in this report in order to better handle reconstruction efforts in the future.¹²

Jos Van Gennip admits in his article “Post-conflict Reconstruction and Development” that post-conflict reconstruction efforts in Afghanistan and in Iraq have been “enormously challenging.”¹³ This article asserts that there are many reasons for reconstruction, it is not simply a tool used to subjugate other nations to the builder nation’s will, but in fact can be very helpful to nations who are constantly being terrorized by local warlords and would-be tyrants, though there are many challenges, as stated above.

The history of post-conflict reconstruction goes back a few decades and there are many who have dedicated and continue to dedicate their work to developing new technologies to better assist nations in the future as well. Marc Cogen’s and Eric De Brabandere’s “Democratic Governance and Post-conflict Reconstruction” lists what Cogen and Brabandere consider to be the three constitutive elements of democracy that are crucial in post-conflict reconstruction efforts, especially in nations that do not have a democratic history.¹⁴ Sanam Anderlini and Judy El-Bushra detail in “Post Conflict Reconstruction” the kind of relief efforts needed to help nations rebuild in the face of

12. Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, “Reform of Stabilization and Reconstruction Operations,” *SIGIR.mil*, February, 2010, accessed from <http://cybercemetery.unt.edu/archive/sigir/20131001125112/http://www.sigir.mil/files/USOCO/ApplyingHardLessons.pdf> (accessed August 28, 2013).

13. Jos Van Gennip, “Post-conflict Reconstruction and Development,” *ProQuest.com*, 2005, accessed from <http://www.liberty.edu:2048/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/216909452?accountid=12085> (accessed January 13, 2014).

14. Marc Cogen and Eric De Brabandere, “Democratic Governance and Post-conflict Reconstruction,” *ProQuest.com*, September, 2007, accessed from <http://www.liberty.edu:2048/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/219584507?accountid=12085> (accessed January 15, 2014).

ending conflicts and the effects of the reconstruction process on women.¹⁵ The book *Governance in Post-conflict Societies*, published by the Center for Strategic and International Studies and edited by Derick Brinkerhoff, is divided into three sections which delves into the history of nation building before exploring the “evolving role of the US military” and then into how one can use the structures of local governance to strengthen new statewide structures.¹⁶ Much like Bender, Marcus Cox in “State Building and Post-Conflict Reconstruction: Lessons from Bosnia,” extrapolates more helpful methods of state reconstruction. Cox collects data from the international effort to help Bosnia instead of Bender’s case study in Sierra Leone.¹⁷ Infodev’s article “The Role of Information and Communication Technologies in Post-Conflict Reconstruction” by Nicholas Friederici states that every post-conflict situation is different and should each be handled individually, though each situation shares common characteristics as information and communication technologies can go a long way towards helping countries begin to build again, especially economically.¹⁸ Sybert Liebenberg and Richard Gueli conclude “Assessment Framework” by finding that post-disaster and post-conflict situations are very similar, so the basis of the technological tools used to help the nations in need

15. Sanam Anderlini and Judy El-Bushra, “Post Conflict Reconstruction,” *InclusiveSecurity.org*, April 2012, accessed from http://www.inclusivesecurity.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/39_post_conflict.pdf (accessed January 12, 2014).

16. Derick Brinkerhoff, *Governance in Post-Conflict Societies Rebuilding Fragile States* (London: Routledge, 2007).

17. Marcus Cox, “State Building and Post-Conflict Reconstruction: Lessons from Bosnia,” *CASIN.ch*, January, 2001, accessed from http://kms1.isn.ethz.ch/serviceengine/Files/ISN/102385/ipublicationdocument_singledocument/5CDDE3C1-0604-4AD4-BE0E-66A4D2ADF05/en/2001_01_State_Building_and_Post-Conflict_Reconstruction.pdf (accessed January 12, 2014).

18. Nicholas Friederici, “The Role of ICT in Post-Conflict Reconstruction,” *InfoDev.org*, June, 2013, accessed from http://infodev.org/infodev-files/ict_post-conflict_final_07102013.pdf (accessed September 1, 2013).

should be similar. Both situations would require the same aid techniques, yet understandably in a different context.¹⁹ Carlos Lopes writes on development in “Mediation, Conflict Prevention, Resolution and Post-conflict Reconstruction” and lends his take on the recent missteps of the United Nations. Lopes lists three key dilemmas as to why there are increasingly fewer resolved disputes and also an increase in failed peacekeeping efforts in the more war-torn regions: the lack of distinction between civilians and combatants, the changing nature of combatants, and the blurring of lines between “military and criminal activities and between military and humanitarian/development activities.”²⁰ Monte Palmer’s work, *The Dilemmas of Political Development*, discusses how a stark minority of nations control the vast majority of the planet’s wealth and thus end up sending aid and establishing development initiatives in the more conflict-ridden regions. Political development must advance in these regions if these war-torn states are to achieve an “overall development in society” as well as advance economically.²¹

Data

As Kaplan puts it, the United States has had a worldwide military presence since the attack on Pearl Harbor in 1941.²² The UN has responsibility for keeping peace worldwide, but it is the United States that provides the backbone for their forces.

19. Sybert Liebenberg, “Assessment Framework,” *CSIR.co.za*, March 2006, accessed from http://www.csir.co.za/websource/ptl0002/pdf_files/media/2006/Paper1.pdf (accessed September 1, 2013).

20. Carlos Lopes, “Mediation, Conflict Prevention, Resolution and Post-conflict Reconstruction,” *Scribd.com*, December 1, 2011, accessed from <http://www.scribd.com/doc/89191644/Development-Dialogue-no-57> (accessed January 11, 2014).

21. Monte Palmer, *The Dilemmas of Political Development; an Introduction to the Politics of the Developing Areas* (Itasca, Ill.: F.E. Peacock Publishers, 1973).

22. Kaplan, “The Slow Death of Defense.”

Additionally, the United States takes independent action quite often due to the bureaucratic nature of the UN. The UN has conducted 19 complex military operations around the world since 1989 in order to rebuild nations and help to quell the violence in nations that were still at war. Ten of these operations have taken place in African states. Englebert notes that despite the best efforts of the UN, very few of these operations were actually successful and the UN had difficulty in establishing self-sustaining institutions.²³ UN and French troops were unable to prevent election violence in the Democratic Republic of Congo, despite having 17,000 peacekeepers and 2,500 European Union troops in the area. Englebert reports that conflict actually rose during the 2006 elections. Even worldwide, peace building efforts have not gotten to the level that the UN would like them to be as compared to their peacekeeping and humanitarian activities during the last decade.²⁴ The UN forces located in Africa at the end of 2005 were composed of 82.4% of all UN peacekeeping forces worldwide.²⁵ The conflicts in question have killed or displaced millions across the African states, such as the Democratic Republic of Congo, Sierra Leone, Côte d'Ivoire, and Angola.²⁶ The UN's hopeful goals of restoring these failed states is a flawed premise, as the majority of African states were never "constituted repositories of power and authority" in the first place, but were always ruled by authoritarian leaders who took advantage of any power they may have held.²⁷ The United States and other Western societies have begun to realize that they need to support

23. Englebert, "Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Africa."

24. Cutillo, "International Assistance to Countries."

25. Englebert, "Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Africa."

26. Conflict Management Division, "Policy on Post-Conflict."

27. Englebert, "Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Africa."

the AU's philosophy to "African Solutions to African Problems" and Americans can use technology in the future to better help train and prepare the future leaders in Africa to lead their respective countries.²⁸ However, it is not just Africa that has had conflicts in the past and that needs foreign aid and assistance in order for them to rebuild and to get back on their feet.

Stabilization and reconstruction efforts have been going on in Iraq and Afghanistan for the last nine years, but have been plagued by military/civilian integration problems and mismanagement.²⁹ These "SROs" (Stabilization Reconstruction Operations) encompass all military and civilian activities "across the full spectrum of a conflict."³⁰ As Bowen mentions, no one was really sure "who was in charge" during individual SROs and this led to significant waste and poor oversight on the operations.³¹ Even in 2003, Ambassador Paul Bremer was not sure how long it would take to rebuild Iraq; he avoided stating any specific timelines.³² In fact, there is no centrally planned organization in Iraq that is taking the lead and neither USAID nor the State Department has the manpower for extended stability operations such as in Iraq and Afghanistan.³³ Ambassador John Herbst is quoted as saying that the United States needs "a corps of dedicated civilian professionals in order to conduct these stabilization operations well."³⁴

28. Gompert, "Learning from Darfur."

29. Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, "Reform of Stabilization and Reconstruction Operations."

30. Ibid.

31. Bowen, "Wanted."

32. Bremer, "Briefing on Reconstruction Efforts."

33. Bowen, "Wanted."

The Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction similarly suggests that a central organization to handle post-conflict reconstruction in these and other countries in the future could be best exemplified by the new organization, the U.S. Office for Contingency Operations.³⁵ SROs are not a new thing for the United States as it has engaged in fifteen of them since World War II, though this is the first time that oversight was set up over the operation so that they have been able to learn from their mistakes and what they can do better in the future.³⁶ In 2003, President Bush organized the Office of Reconstruction and Humanitarian Assistance, though this organization never fully subsumed the responsibilities that it was created to have. It was quickly replaced by the Coalition Provisional Authority who absorbed what was left of ORHA, though it too lacked information technology, personnel, and the financial resources to fully carry out its specific missions.³⁷ Both situations in Africa and the Middle East can be assisted by this USOCO as this new organization is meant to be able to used exclusively for nation rebuilding in the future with the kind of resources that only a top-down method can provide.³⁸

The UN has undertaken peace building around the world since its inception at the conclusion of World War II.³⁹ Peace building is the framework in which post-conflict countries should be assisted by external sources through peacekeeping, humanitarian aid,

34. Ibid.

35. Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, "Reform of Stabilization and Reconstruction Operations."

36. Ibid.

37. Ibid.

38. Bowen, "Wanted."

39. Cutillo, "International Assistance to Countries Emerging from Conflict."

and development cooperation.⁴⁰ Information and communications technologies fall under development and can bring tangible economic benefits after being re-established in the post-conflict nation.⁴¹ The ultimate objective for post-disaster and post-conflict nations is to develop a path to sustainable development so that the nation in question can avoid being put into such a situation again in the future.⁴²

Analysis

Over the past few decades the United States of America has accomplished some very advanced technological and developmental achievements. In order to better their abilities to reconstruct and stabilize nations in the future they must utilize the lessons they have learned from the past as well as these technological advancements. From their experiences in Africa with its ever changing political landscape, as well as their more recent efforts in the Middle East, they have learned some valuable lessons in how to approach helping nations rebuild in the face of danger. In addition to these lessons, they have also passed some very important milestones in technology and now have the ability to access information almost anywhere in the world. This new globally linked network can be used to better educate those who would want to reconstruct their own nations as a united people group with as little foreign interference as possible, while also enabling the United States to train up leaders in their own communities. This training empowers people to save their own nations without causing the United States to be seen as a new imperialistic power, bent on setting up satellite “Americas” around the world. In

40. Ibid.

41. Friederici, “The Role of ICT in Post-Conflict Reconstruction.”

42. Liebenberg, “Assessment Framework.”

combination with their past lessons, this newfound empowerment can help them to become more efficient and capable of reconstructing post-war nations and to help stabilize their economy and set up their new system of governance. However, it is very possible that American efforts thus far have only solidified the fact that they should not be engaged in nation building at all and that they should let countries develop on their own.

While the United States of America has been seen as the “world police” since 1941, according to Kaplan, it only attempts to be involved in situations that no one else can or will get involved in order to help out a hurting people group.⁴³ It does not matter where on Earth this group is located, the United States military will do its best to protect innocent lives and to promote the cause of liberty. The experiences that the United States has gained with aiding in post-war reconstruction and stabilization in the various African states over the years has taught it many valuable lessons about what it can and cannot achieve in tumultuous areas. Since 1989, there have been 10 relief efforts by the United Nations in Africa to help support the transition from war to peace and to rebuild governing institutions so that the African states could regain sovereignty over their borders and to effectively govern their respective populace.⁴⁴

While the United States did have limited success in some of these countries, many of them were plagued by violence for years to come, especially in the first stages of transition. Even countries who did not explode with violent action have become dependent on foreign aid and face inadequacies each year with their various issues and governing policies. Because of this, the UN forces located in Africa at the end of 2005

43. Kaplan, “The Slow Death of Defense.”

44. Englebert, “Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Africa.”

comprised 82.4% of all UN peacekeeping forces worldwide in order to help keep the peace and prevent future outbreaks of violence.⁴⁵ The conflicts in question have killed or displaced millions across the African states, such as the Democratic Republic of Congo, Sierra Leone, Côte d'Ivoire, and Angola.⁴⁶ More and more of a percentage of international terrorist activity and oil exports are coming from Africa, so this is affecting the violence levels drastically in regards to toppling fledgling governments and controlling the people of the various African states. Even America's most lofty promises seem circumspect when compared to the track record it has in attempting to rebuild and stabilize the war-torn states.

The blame for the continual failure of African states to form effective and stable governments can be traced to their past reliance on patronage of whatever colonial power happened to be in charge of their regions at the time. Many Africans were taken as slaves during the colonialist time period of the world and their governing authorities exploited them to export products to other more civilized nations in order to be refined into usable goods and even services. Since they never really were able to get a grasp on being actual producers, trying to set up a government run by the people and expecting them to survive in the global economy could be compared to handing a teenager a credit card who has never had to budget anything in their lives, and expecting them not to run the card up past its limit. To put it another way, it would be like giving a brand new manual-transmission car to a 16 year old who has had no driving experience and expecting them to not stall out on the interstate while trying to shift gears and merge through 4 lane traffic at the same

45. Ibid.

46. Conflict Management Division, "Policy on Post-Conflict."

time. These nations have no experience with self-government to draw from. They have continually found themselves in a cyclical pattern of building, becoming corrupt, and eventually falling back apart into separate factions. Each wanted different things until war once more devastates everyone. Then the UN comes back in to try and set up the dominoes of fate once more so that these states can knock them over again.⁴⁷

The UN's hopeful goals of restoring these failed states is a flawed premise, as the majority of African states were never "constituted repositories of power and authority" in the first place, but were always ruled by authoritarian leaders who took advantage of any power they may have held.⁴⁸ These leaders took advantage of their power to cause the failures of their respective states. It is not as if the African states are obstinately refusing help, it is just that the methods being used thus far are ineffective and end up being a waste of time. Englebert also points out that there is a flaw in the logic of cooperation between the donor states and the state being rebuilt. "Local elites maximize personal or factional benefits from political instability and outsiders' reconstruction efforts," which casts doubts on the continuance of reconstruction efforts when the donor nations end up lining the pockets of the corrupt.⁴⁹ The third flaw that Englebert and Tull notice is the inconsistency in the reasons behind the donor nation's generosity. Some help with the reconstruction efforts just so that they might gain political favor in their home country, while abandoning the cause when it falls out of the limelight. They only end up helping in the short term, preventing the post-conflict nation from gaining any long-term ground as their flow of support wavers as donors sign on or abandon their cause.

47. Englebert, "Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Africa."

48. Ibid.

49. Ibid.

The United States and other Western societies have begun to realize that they need to support the AU's philosophy for "African Solutions to African Problems" and they can use technology in the future to better help train and prepare the future leaders in Africa to lead their respective countries, especially in the area of preventing mass killings and genocides.⁵⁰ According to Bender's "Lessons from Sierra Leone," the main issue is the returning conflicts of local warring tribes and the failures of most UN charity programs to address the root cause of the ever-present instability in the central African region. He even goes on to call these peace treaties "paper tigers" that have no real substance but look good for the countries that supported them in the first place.⁵¹

At the end of the day, only the local citizens know what is truly best for their newly developing nations. Having bureaucrats in far-off lands deciding how best to spend their aid money is not the best option at all. Thus, setting up "local and broadly representative councils" should take charge of any and all reconstruction proceedings in place of foreign nation builders.⁵² Africans must take the lead in any of these efforts if they are to succeed because they cannot rely on foreigners to baby-sit them forever; they need to stand on their own at some point.⁵³ Also, any efforts or defense forces organized in order to protect the peace in Africa must be prepared and authorized to "fight and win."⁵⁴ They cannot do this alone though, and will still need to cooperate with western nations as they can receive more specialized training and learn how to better make use of

50. Gompert, "Learning from Darfur."

51. Bender, "Lessons from Sierra Leone."

52. Van Gennip, "Post-conflict Reconstruction and Development."

53. Gompert, "Learning from Darfur."

54. Ibid.

the resources that they possess naturally in order to stabilize their nations. Stabilization is just the first step of the process and the Conflict Management Division of PeaceAU recognizes this and states, “Post-conflict reconstruction and development activities do not stop with stabilization, but seek to achieve long-term sustainable development as underpinned by the African vision of regeneration and growth.”⁵⁵ However, it is not just Africa that has had conflicts in the past and that needs foreign aid and assistance in order for them to rebuild and to get back on their feet. Something needs to happen in the future if America is to set these nations up permanently for the benefit of the innocent lives that did not choose for their birthplace to constantly be engaged in turmoil and political upheavals.

The Middle East has dominated the American news cycles, as well as Uncle Sam’s wallet, for the better part of the last decade. Africa is not alone is having disasters and conflicts strike their homelands, and they are not the only ones to receive aid from America’s seemingly-never-ending coffers. Stabilization and reconstruction efforts have been going on in Iraq and Afghanistan for the last nine years, but have been plagued by military/civilian integration problems and mismanagement.⁵⁶ Though oversight is usually typical of any government run organization or activity, there has been a severe lack of such in the relief efforts to the Middle East. Instead of allowing each participating office or organization to stick to doing what they know best, the stabilization and reconstruction operations were split up between groups when they really should not have been. These “SROs” encompass all military and civilian activities “across the full spectrum of a

55. Conflict Management Division, “Policy on Post-Conflict.”

56. Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, “Reform of Stabilization and Reconstruction Operations.”

conflict.”⁵⁷ Instead of having these operations spread out so thinly, it would’ve been better to consolidate them into just a handful of organizations. As Bowen mentions, no one was really sure “who was in charge” during individual SROs and this led to significant waste and poor oversight on the operations.⁵⁸ Even in 2003, Ambassador Paul Bremer was not sure how long it would take to rebuild Iraq; he avoided stating any specific timelines.⁵⁹ He knew that it would take months or even years, but it is doubtful that even he could’ve imagined that it would go on for a decade with still no conclusive end in sight.

The fact is, there is no centrally planned organization in Iraq that is taking the lead and neither USAID, nor the State Department, has the manpower for extended stability operations such as in Iraq and Afghanistan.⁶⁰ Ambassador John Herbst says that the United States needs “a corps of dedicated civilian professionals in order to conduct these stabilization operations well.”⁶¹ The Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, Stuart Bowen, similarly suggests that a central organization to handle post-conflict reconstruction in these countries and even others in the future could be best exemplified by the new organization, the U.S. Office for Contingency Operations.⁶² SROs are not a new thing for the United States as they have engaged in fifteen of them since World War II, though this is the first time that oversight was set up over the operation so that they

57. Ibid.

58. Bowen, “Wanted.”

59. Bremer, “Briefing on Reconstruction Efforts.”

60. Bowen, “Wanted.”

61. Ibid.

62. Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, “Reform of Stabilization and Reconstruction Operations.”

have been able to learn from their mistakes and what they can do better in the future.⁶³ In 2003, President Bush signed the Office of Reconstruction and Humanitarian Assistance into existence, though this organization never fully subsumed the responsibilities that it was created to have. It was quickly replaced by the Coalition Provisional Authority who absorbed what was left of ORHA, though it too lacked information technology, personnel, and the financial resources to fully carry out its specific missions.⁶⁴ A year later, the government decided to switch the reins from the Department of Defense to the Department of State in hopes that their more diplomatic and bureaucratic approach to foreign policy could help organize the reconstruction efforts more efficiently throughout the Middle East. It then set up two temporary organizations to oversee and plan new operations, but ambiguities arose when lines were crossed during implementation. The Department of Defense still controlled most of the contracting and workforce involved, while the Department of State possess neither the experience or scope to lead and organize such a large reconstruction effort.⁶⁵

In order to alleviate all of the past problems in this area, both Bowen's independent article and his Special Inspector's report suggest setting up the U.S. Office for Contingency Operations. This organization would provide the top-down command structure that would be required of such a large operation, while still being nuanced enough to handle any problem thrown at it since each post-conflict or post-disaster country is in a different situation. Creating another government organization is not ideal,

63. Ibid.

64. Ibid.

65. Ibid.

as America was meant to be a small federated republic, but consolidating American efforts into a single organization like the USOCO instead of the myriad of bureaucracies that the United States has governing the rebuilding nations now will save America money, time, and resources in the future. Bowen even postulates that it could only cost \$25 million a year to fund this new organization by “field[ing] a dedicated civ-mil team that could provide a level of reliability and accountability that simply was missing in Iraq and Afghanistan and is still absent from our national security architecture.”⁶⁶ Both situations in Africa and the Middle East can be assisted by this USOCO as this new organization is meant to be able to used exclusively for nation rebuilding in the future with the kind of resources that only a top-down method can provide.⁶⁷

Each individual post-conflict nation is very different than the rest and each requires individual attention in order to best assist them in rebuilding their nation. One of the more often overlooked ways to help get a nation up and running again is the information and communications technologies that most countries rely upon for the basis of their infrastructure and economic centers.⁶⁸ While not every post-disaster or post-conflict nation has the same issues in reconstruction, they all have commonalities that could be relevant to ICT-enabled intervention.⁶⁹ Once they can regain their economic independence by using ICT to rebuild critical economic infrastructure, they can more easily fund peace building activities in tandem with the United Nations and/or American forces. The UN has been tasked with peace building around the world since its inception

66. Bowen, “Wanted.”

67. Ibid.

68. Friederici, “The Role of ICT in Post-Conflict Reconstruction.”

69. Ibid.

at the conclusion of World War II.⁷⁰ This is pretty well-known, though the UN has often been far more competent at stirring up old rivalries and creating more problems than it actually solves due to its system of coalitions of small nations that block anything that the bigger nations try to accomplish and the gridlock between the United States of America, Russia, and China. None of the three wants any of the others to gain too much from any one deal so that the balance of power will not be shifted from its current spot.

Peacebuilding is the framework in which post-conflict countries should be assisted by external sources through peacekeeping, humanitarian aid, and development cooperation.⁷¹ Information and communications technologies fall into the development side of things, and can bring tangible economic benefits after being re-established in the post-conflict nation.⁷²

While not being the largest priority or even one of the primary goals in the reconstruction of post-disaster and post-conflict nations, the ICT field can assist greatly in jumpstarting the economy and in reconfiguring governmental infrastructure. Some governments never had country-wide communication in the first place, but with the advent of mobile technologies and equipment powered by alternative energy sources, as seen at expos such as the annual STARS-Tides conference at the National Defense University, these nations are now able to join the 21st century with a bang as they can now interact on the global scale in order to get better trade values for their resources and to enable more efficient communication lines so that the fledgling government can

70. Cutillo, "International Assistance to Countries Emerging from Conflict."

71. Ibid.

72. Friederici, "The Role of ICT in Post-Conflict Reconstruction."

adequately express its goals to the citizens and to nations abroad in order to prevent misunderstandings in diplomacy and military policies that could very easily arise if no communication was present.⁷³ They now possess the technology capable of creating completely closed off networks for developing nations and possibly small corporations that can provide phone, internet, and data access for a select amount of people within the coverage radius. These network devices are relatively cheap when compared to setting up one's own wired network nationwide and there are no monthly internet bills to deal with either as it is all over the empty wavelengths in the electromagnetic spectrum. The ultimate objective for post-disaster and post-conflict nations is to develop a path to sustainable development so that the nation in question can avoid being put into such a situation again in the future.⁷⁴ If these nations are able to stand on their own, they will no longer have to rely on some big-brother nation to come bail them out every time a would-be despot starts challenging their status quo. Once they are at the point of sustainable development, these nations can start to become truly independent and can be welcomed into the global community with pride.

Conclusion

Since 1776 the United States of America has done its best to be the “city on a hill,” an example to nations around the world of what a free country can do and the benefits of living in a society where people are not afraid to speak their mind or risk their fortune on a new business venture. It has also more recently been dubbed the world police as the United States has decided to use its wealth, resources, and manpower in

73. Ibid.

74. Liebenberg, “Assessment Framework.”

order to make the world better for everyone, and not just for citizens of the United States. The United States of America has had a long partnership with the United Nations in assisting countries who have had tough situations occur within their borders, whether it be a natural disaster, civil war, international conflict, genocide, or even civic oppression of the minority. These most notably have occurred in the African states.

While there has been limited success within Africa, there have also been many failures, not the least of which being Darfur. In order to move forward with helping these nations, there needs to be a few changes made to the way the United States handles post-conflict and post-disaster reconstruction efforts in Africa and abroad. They need to recognize that the African state needs to take the lead on any specific projects being attempted as they need to learn to rely on themselves with their foreign partner as only a guide to get them started. Western nations as a whole need to learn that these reconstruction efforts are not for gaining political favor, nor are these states up for grabs again like they once thought during the colonialist period of history where European countries raced to lay claim to the various African states, as well as nations around the world. Also, any new systems or organizations set up in the future for Africa, or even other nations, needs to have the authority and preparedness to fight and win in case of an invading force, whether its domestic or foreign. They cannot be forced to sit on their thumbs due to not having the proper clearance to defend their citizens. Similarly, the work that is done to reconstruct their nations needs to consider the long-term goals of the country and not just whatever will make them happy now. They must be enabled to run their own governments so that they no longer depend on foreign help to fight their own battles.

Iraq and Afghanistan have taught the United States of America that there needs to be better foresight and planning before rushing into a nation blind and confident that everything will work out fine. It ends up in a bureaucratic nightmare as every organization and piece of infrastructure is jury-rigged on the spot or assigned to a department that might have no idea or precedents on how to proceed in the given circumstance. The best way forward for alleviating this jury-rigged “nightmare” is to create an organization much like the one that has been put forth by Bowen as an alternative way to move forward in nation rebuilding. The Middle East could highly benefit from an organization such as this, though it can be used in every future circumstance as well. The U.S. Office for Contingency Operations would be able to centralize all reconstruction efforts and do so with greater oversight and less overhead as America would know where every dollar was going and would be able to re-evaluate the situation as time went on to determine which methods or specific offices are not contributing to the overall success of the USOCO.

This new nation reconstruction organization, along with working more closely with the nations in question can greatly increase the American capacity to make a difference in war-torn and disaster ridden areas. Together with new information and communications technology policies, nations will be able to get back up on their own “feet” much more quickly than they have been in the past. Hopefully the UN will look to the United States as an example of how they should also use their technology and resources in order to promote peacebuilding worldwide as America cannot police the world on its own, no matter how much they would like to. ICT systems are becoming more and more critical to a nation’s development, and if they are able to get this

technology into reconstructing nations' hands and using the aforementioned techniques learned from Africa and the Middle East, the reconstruction of post-disaster and post-conflict nations will reach a new height of efficiency and expediency that the world has not seen as of yet. It will remain crucial for America to not attempt to swoop in and take over every system and level of government that might be remaining in the nation, but to come alongside them and help them back up so that they can regain their national pride, or even to develop their own independent nation for the first time in their history. This will cement America's place, not as the world police, but as the global partner for the reconstruction of nations and promoter of worldwide freedom.

Bibliography

- Anderlini, Sanam, and Judy El-Bushra. "Post Conflict Reconstruction." *Inclusive Security, Sustainable Peace: a Toolkit for Advocacy and Action* 39 (2012). http://www.inclusivesecurity.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/39_post_conflict.pdf. Accessed January 12, 2014.
- Bender, Gunter. "Post Conflict Reconstruction in Africa: Lessons from Sierra Leone." *Insight on Africa* 3 (2011): 71-90. <http://www.liberty.edu:2048/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/917453436?accountid=12085>. Accessed January 12, 2014.
- Bowen, Stuart. "Wanted: A Post-War Watchdog for Nation-Building—Defense One." *Defense One*. <http://www.defenseone.com/ideas/2013/07/someone-watch-over-oco-why-pentagon-needs-permanent-nation-building-watchdog/67865/>. Accessed August 28, 2013.
- Bremer, Paul. "Defense.gov News Transcript: Briefing on Coalition Post-war Reconstruction and Stabilization Efforts." United States Department of Defense (defense.gov). <http://www.defense.gov/transcripts/transcript.aspx?transcriptid=2737>. Accessed August 28, 2013.
- Brinkerhoff, Derick W. *Governance in Post-Conflict Societies Rebuilding Fragile States*. London: Routledge, 2007.
- Cogen, Marc, and Eric De Brabandere. "Democratic Governance and Post-conflict Reconstruction." *Leiden Journal of International Law* 20 (2007): 669-693. <http://www.liberty.edu:2048/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/219584507?accountid=12085>. Accessed January 15, 2014.
- Conflict Management Division. "Policy on Post-Conflict Reconstruction and Development." PeaceAU. www.peaceau.org/uploads/pcrd-policy-framwowork-eng.pdf. Accessed August 26, 2013.
- Cox, Marcus. "State Building and Post-Conflict Reconstruction: Lessons from Bosnia." *Cluster of Competence: The Rehabilitation of War Torn Societies* 1 (2001). http://kms1.isn.ethz.ch/serviceengine/Files/ISN/102385/ipublicationdocument_singledocument/5CDDE3C1-0604-4AD4-BE0E-566A4D2ADF05/en/2001_01_State_Building_and_Post-Conflict_Reconstruction.pdf. Accessed January 12, 2014.
- Cuttillo, Alberto. "International Assistance to Countries Emerging from Conflict: A Review of Fifteen Years of Interventions and the Future of Peacebuilding." International Peace Institute. http://www.ipinst.org/media/pdf/publications/cuttillo_e_rpt.pdf. Accessed November 20, 2013.

- Englebert, Pierre, and Denis Tull. "Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Africa: Flawed Ideas about Failed States." Pomono College. www.politics.pomona.edu/penglebert/State%20Rec%20Paper%20IS%20R&R%20Sep%2019%2007.pdf. Accessed September 5, 2013.
- Friederici, Nicolas. "ICTs for Post-Conflict Reconstruction—Overview and Case Studies | infoDev." infoDev. <http://www.infodev.org/postconflict>. Accessed September 1, 2013.
- Gompert, David, Courtney Richardson, Richard Kugler, and Clifford Bernath. "Learning from Darfur: Building a Net-Capable African Force to Stop Mass Killing." *Defense & Technology Paper* 15 (2005). <http://ctnsp.dodlive.mil/2005/07/15/dtp-015-learning-from-darfur-building-a-net-capable-african-force-to-stop-mass-killing-2/>. Accessed October 16, 2013.
- Kaplan, Robert. "The Slow Death of Defense." Stratfor. <http://www.stratfor.com/weekly/slow-death-defense>. Accessed December 4, 2013.
- Kreimer, Alcira. *Uganda: Post-Conflict Reconstruction: Country Case Evaluation*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank, 2000.
- Liebenberg, Sybert, and Richard Gueli. "CSIR - Council for Scientific and Industrial Research." CSIR - Council for Scientific and Industrial Research. http://www.csir.co.za/general_news/2006/BringingpostconflictconstructionanddevelopmenttoAfrica7November2006.html. Accessed September 1, 2013.
- Lopes, Carlos. "Mediation, Conflict Prevention, Resolution and Post-Conflict Reconstruction." *Development Dialogue* 57 (2011): 25-30. <http://www.scribd.com/doc/89191644/Development-Dialogue-no-57>. Accessed January 11, 2014.
- Orr, Robert C. *Winning the peace: an American Strategy for Post-Conflict Reconstruction*. Washington, D.C.: CSIS Press, 2004.
- Palmer, Monte. *The Dilemmas of Political Development; an Introduction to the Politics of the Developing Areas*. Itasca, Il.: F.E. Peacock Publishers, 1973.
- Rathmell, Andrew. "Planning Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Iraq: What Can We Learn?" *International Affairs* 81, no. 5 (2005): 1013-1038. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2005.00500.x/full>. Accessed January 14, 2014.
- Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction. "Applying Iraq's Hard Lessons." SIGIR. <http://cybercemetery.unt.edu/archive/sigir/20131001125112/http://www.sigir.mil/files/USOCO/ApplyingHardLessons.pdf>. Accessed August 28, 2013.
- Van Gennip, Jos. "Post-conflict Reconstruction and Development." *Development* 48, no.

3 (2005): 57-62. <http://www.liberty.edu:2048/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/216909452?accountid=12085>. Accessed January 13, 2014.