

Liberty University DigitalCommons@Liberty **University**

Faculty Publications and Presentations

School of Education

1-1-2007

Standards Blending: Aligning School Counseling Programs with School Academic Achievement Missions

Rita Cantrell Schellenberg Liberty University, rcshellenberg@liberty.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/educ fac pubs



Part of the Education Commons

Schellenberg, Rita Cantrell, "Standards Blending: Aligning School Counseling Programs with School Academic Achievement Missions" (2007). Faculty Publications and Presentations. Paper 155. http://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/educ_fac_pubs/155

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Education at DigitalCommons@Liberty University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Liberty University. For more information, please contact scholarlycommunication@liberty.edu.

Standards Blending: Aligning School Counseling Programs with School Academic Achievement Missions

Rita Cantrell Schellenberg, Ph.D., School Counselor, Suffolk Public Schools, Adjunct Professor, Psychology, Regent University

Abstract

This paper proposes a standards blending approach that provides a means by which to explicitly demonstrate the direct impact of school counseling on student academic achievement. Standards blending is the process of combining school counseling standards with core academic content standards to provide students with a practical means by which to better comprehend and visualize the interrelationships of their learning and real life situations.

Historically, school counselor education programs have been inconsistent in the preparation of school counselors, which has contributed to role confusion and variation in professional practices (Akos & Galassi, 2004; Dahir, 2004; Lambie & Williamson, 2004; Paisley & Hayes, 2002; Sears & Granello, 2002). Prior to standards-based educational reform movements, school counselor training programs primarily applied a clinical, mental health model as their pedagogical approach to the preparation of school counselors, while other programs applied an educational model (Education Trust, 1997; Martin, 2002; Paisley & Hayes, 2003).

Standards-driven educational reform movements such as No Child Left Behind [NCLB], (U.S. Department of Education, 2001) left school counselors behind, excluding them in educational reform agendas. Such disregard for the role that school counselors assume in the academic achievement of students prompted the forging of professional alliances that have been resolute and progressive forces in unifying preparation and practice and transforming the role of the school counselor.

Transforming School Counseling Initiative

The Transforming School Counseling Initiative [TSCI] (Education Trust, 1997) in collaboration with DeWitt Wallace-Readers Digest, the American School Counselor Association (ASCA), the Association for Counselor Education and Supervision (ACES), and the American Counseling Association (ACA), redefined the role of the school counselor with a new vision for school counseling programs. TSCI was established with the express purpose of restructuring school counselor education and training at the graduate level (Education Trust, 1997). This required changing the school counseling curriculum focus from an individualistic, mental health model to a systemic, educational model (Perusse, Goodnough, Donegan, & Jones, 2004). The TSCI envisions school counselors as social action agents who identify and remove inequities and other barriers to academic achievement through systemic leadership, advocacy, collaboration, counseling, coordination, assessment, data analysis, and the implementation of evidencebased programs that aid in closing the achievement gap (Education Trust; House & Hayes, 2002). New vision school counselors are challenged to create programs that are academicand systemic-focused and align with schools' academic achievement missions.

Council for Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs

The revised Council for Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs [CACREP] Standards (2001), which reflect the changes in our educational climate and diverse, technologically advanced world, provide unified, minimal competencies for the optimal preparation of new vision school counselors. The CACREP Standards ensure that school counselors receive education and training in developing evidencebased practices for diverse and special needs students, emphasizing academic outcomes and identification and removal of personal and systemic barriers to academic achievement. The standards further require demonstrated knowledge in the use of needs assessments, data, program evaluation, and technology in counseling practices. In addition

to demonstrated knowledge in eight core areas (i.e., professional identity, social and cultural diversity, growth and development, development, helping relationships, group work, assessment; and research and program evaluation), school counselors are required to demonstrate knowledge specific to counseling in the schools, which reflect the contemporary educational reform agenda (Paisley & Hayes, 2003). CACREP provides counselor educators with standards for preparing pre-service school counselors for academic-focused practices. Practicing school counselors can assist counselor educators in bridging education and practice by developing approaches that can be used as models for classroom instruction and experiential activities that explicitly align school counseling programs with school academic missions and demonstrate a direct impact on student achievement and closing the achievement gap.

ASCA National Standards and National Model

In addition to the efforts of the TSCI and the American School Counselor CACREP. Association [ASCA] created National Standards (Campbell & Dahir, 1997) and a National Model (ASCA, 2003) to define and unify the professional practices of school counselors. The National Model provides counselor educators and school counseling practitioners with a unified language and framework (i.e., foundation, delivery. management, accountability) from which to establish comprehensive, developmental, accountable school counseling programs that emphasize school-wide service delivery over the delivery of services to a selected few (Paisley & Hayes, 2003). The National Model includes the National Standards with student competencies and indicators for facilitating development in three domains (i.e., academic, career, personal/social). ASCA has been instrumental in providing a standards-based, systemic framework that school counselors can use to develop approaches that will align school counseling with academic missions in this new millennium.

The Problem: How to Align School **Counseling with School Academic Missions?**

The TSCI, CACREP, and ASCA have been active in providing direction and unification in school counselor training and professional practice roles and functions that emphasize the need to align school counseling programs with schools' academic missions. However, the means to this end is still ambiguous with literature underscoring the same roles and functions that, to date, have failed to distinguish the school counseling profession as a vital link in the academic achievement process (House & Hayes, 2002; Lambie & Williamson, 2004; Lenhardt, 2001; Martin, 2002; Paisley & Hayes, 2002).

Furthermore, although "the success of all students has long been a goal of school counseling" (Borders, 2002, p. 182), success, in educational agendas, is measured in terms of academic achievement and school counselors have not been successful in establishing school counseling services as essential to successful academic performance (ASCA, 1987; Dahir, 2004; Stone & Clark, 2001).

An explicit approach is needed that more directly demonstrates student academic success as a result of school counseling services. If school with academic counseling programs align achievement missions, then school counselors must exercise the role of educational specialist by explicitly reinforcing core academic standards, while simultaneously addressing the personal, social, emotional, and career development needs of students.

Aligning School Counseling and School Academic Missions with Standards Blending

Standards blending is the process of combining school counseling standards and core academic content standards in programming. School counselors will not be teaching, but reinforcing core academic content standards, while teaching the school counseling standards-based curriculum. Creatively blending core academic content standards and school counseling standards provides students with a practical means by which better comprehend and visualize interrelationships of their learning and real life situations. Students who have the opportunity to socially interact with the curriculum, fellow students, and other teachers, and who make the connection between academics and personal interests and goals are more motivated to learn (Pajares, 2001; Vansteenkiste, Lens, & Deci, 2006; Winstead, 2004).

Blending academic content standards and school counseling standards will require a working knowledge of national/state academic content standards and consultation with the classroom teacher to coordinate the pacing of lessons. Most schools offer pacing guides which outline when each academic content standard is to be presented in the classroom. In addition to the benefits to students, consulting and teaming with the teacher establishes school counselors as essential partners in accomplishing academic achievement goals, which serves to enhance the teacher-school counselor relationship. Administrators, too, focused on the bottom line-academic achievement-are more likely to support programs that explicitly reinforce core academic content standards. The incorporation and reinforcement of core academic content standards in the delivery of school counseling programs is demonstrative of best practices for new vision school counselors. The administration of standards-blended activities is accomplished using traditional methods of school counseling program delivery (i.e., classroom guidance, small groups counseling, and individual counseling).

School counselors select which academic content standards to blend in accordance with the core academic standards being taught in the classroom, student need as identified by an analysis of school-wide state and classroom assessment data. School counselors may wish to explore student needs in a specific grade level, classroom, or population, and provide standards-blended interventions in small groups and individual sessions.

Closing the Achievement Gap with Standards Blending

Standards-blended programs allow school counselors to assume a distinct role in closing the achievement gap by addressing the academic needs of low achieving students while also enhancing personal, social, and emotional well-being. School counselors are assisting in closing the achievement gap when students, who are considered part of the gap (e.g., low-achieving minority, economically disadvantaged, male, special education) participate in standards-blended programs that result in increased academic achievement.

Determining the Effectiveness of Standards Blending

Increasing student academic achievement and closing the achievement gap are goals that improve the quality of life for students, now, and into the future. It is also vital to the improvement, advancement, and recognition of the school counseling profession as an essential component in the mission to increase academic achievement for all students. Therefore, it is imperative that school counselors measure the effectiveness of standards-blended programs in meeting some or all of the objectives of the lessons/activities.

There are several ways in which school academic counselors can measure the development outcomes of standards-blended programs, which is not much different from current program evaluation and accountability methods. Pre-program or baseline data needs to be collected prior to standards-blended program delivery. This may include data from state or classroom assessments and questionnaires developed directly from curriculum content. Program evaluation outcomes will be more reliable if the data collected is objective and standardized (e.g., assessment data vs. teacher report of classroom performance).

When using existing data, school counselors should highlight the scores pertaining to the academic content standards being reinforced in the blended-standards program for each participant. After program delivery the teacher or school counselor should assess the students using the same instrument from which the pre-program data was taken, if possible. If not, the students should be assessed using a measure that is ordinarily used in the classroom and that covers the academic standards presented. If using a pre- and post-lesson

questionnaire, it should be administered in a standardized fashion at the beginning and end of the program/lesson.

School counselors also need to evaluate the effectiveness of the school counseling curriculum of the standards-blended lesson using questionnaire developed from the lesson's school counseling curriculum or another measure of the construct (e.g., self-esteem, anger, social skills). One questionnaire can be used to measure both the school counseling and academic curriculum content of the standards-blended lesson or program. A process component might also be included in order to determine strengths and weaknesses of the standards-blended program.

Once data has been analyzed and outcomes documented, findings should be used for program improvement, with positive outcomes being division reported to building and level administrators, presented at professional conferences, and published in peer-reviewed counseling and education journals. Sharing and publicizing positive outcomes will help to ensure future acknowledgement of school counseling contributions in increasing academic achievement and closing the achievement gap.

The ultimate goal has not changed, but has become more overt in demonstrating the school increasing academic counselor's role in achievement for all students, while continuing to solidify the school counselor's role in removing obstacles to learning by attending to the personal, social, emotional, and career development needs of students. In addition, reinforcing academic standards school counseling content in programming will likely improve the school counselor's effectiveness in increasing student academic achievement.

Standards-Blended Programming in Action

The following standards-blended program, Resolving Conflict Peacefully and Enhancing Academic Achievement in Mathematics and Language Arts, illustrates the development, implementation, and evaluation of a standardsblended program. This program was delivered as a classroom guidance lesson; it may also be used for small groups and individual counseling sessions. The lesson includes the Virginia Standards of Learning (Virginia Department of Education, 2001) and the Standards for School Counseling Programs in Virginia Public Schools (Virginia Department of Education, 2004), hereafter referred to as Virginia School Counseling Standards (VSCS). School counselors from other states may use the same process of standards blending using their state's academic standards or national academic standards. This lesson also includes the ASCA National Standards for School Counseling Programs (Campbell & Dahir, 1997), hereafter referred to as ASCA School Counseling Standards (ASCS).

Participants and Procedure

The lesson was presented as a 40-minute classroom guidance lesson to 103 students (61 males and 42 females) in five second-grade classrooms in a public suburban elementary school located in Virginia. Classrooms consisted of general education, gifted, and special needs students. Participants were categorized into two subgroups: minority (43 African American, 7 Asians, and 3 Hispanics) and non-minority (50 Caucasians). Minority and non-minority groupings allow for the identification of academic achievement gaps. A questionnaire reflecting curriculum content was administered by the school counselor at the start and end of the lesson (contact author for copy of questionnaire).

Figure 1.1 lists the lesson goals, objectives, and standards of the lesson.

Lesson Curriculum and Delivery

Icebreaker: The school counselor writes FNOLITCC on the board. Students are asked to unscramble the letters to reveal the topic of the lesson: "conflict".

Discussion: The definition and nature of conflict is discussed with student participation encouraged. Possible approaches to resolving conflict are discussed and the 4-step problem solving method is introduced.

Activities: Each of the four steps of the problem solving method are written on large strips of heavy stock paper and laminated for durability. Magnets are attached to the back of each strip for attaching

Figure 1.1: Lesson Goals, Objectives, Standards, and Curriculum and Delivery

Lesson Goal: To enhance students' personal/social, career, and academic development.

Objective 1: Students will define conflict, understand the nature of conflict, and be introduced to a positive approach to problem solving for improving interpersonal relations.

Objective 2: Students will learn a 4-step problem-solving method.

Objective 3: Students will gain a better understanding of sets and fractional representations.

Core Academic Standards: Virginia SOLs

Math – Number & Number Sequence:

2.4: Identify the part of a set and/or region that represents fractions for one-half, one-third, and one-forth.

English – Oral Language:

- 2.1, b: Create and participate in oral dramatic activities.
- 2.2, c: Follow oral directions with three and four steps.
- 2.3, c: Use oral communication skills to participate and contribute in a group.

Social Studies - Civics:

2.10, c: Describe actions that can improve the school and community.

School Counseling Standards: Virginia

Academic Development Domain:

EA8, Work cooperatively in small and large groups toward a common goal.

Career Development Domain:

EC4, Understand the importance of teamwork in working toward a common goal.

EC5, Demonstrate the decision making process.

Personal/Social Development Domain:

EP2, Understand how to make friends and work cooperatively with others.

EP4, Demonstrate good manners and respectful behavior towards others.

School Counseling Standards: ASCA

Academic Development Domain:

A:A3.2, Demonstrate the ability to work independently and cooperatively w/others. A:B1.2, Learn and apply critical thinking

Career Development Domain:

skills.

C:A2.1, Acquire employability skills (i.e., problem solving).

C:C2.2, Learn to use conflict management skills with peers-adults.

Personal/Social Development Domain:

PS:A1.5, Identify and express feelings.

PS:A1.8, Understand the need for self-control and how to practice it.

PS:A2.6, Use effective communication skills.

PS:A2.7, Know that communication involves speaking, listening, and nonverbal behavior.

PS:A2.8, Learn how to make and keep friends. PS:B1.1, Use a decision-making and problemsolving model.

PS.B1.6, Know how to apply conflict resolution skills.

and manipulating on classroom boards. The 4-step problem solving method for resolving conflict is demonstrated using multiple role play activities and scenarios determined by the school counselor and participating students. The 4-step problem solving method is then presented as a set of four components that are broken down into fractional representations of one-fourth, two-fourths/one-half, three-fourths, and four-fourths/whole. One step is

removed. The set is then presented as a set of three and the steps are represented as one-third, two-thirds, and three-thirds/whole. Students are encouraged to participate individually and cooperatively.

Method of Analysis

A 6-item multiple-choice questionnaire reflecting the standards-based curriculum content

was developed and administered by the school counselor. Items 1-3 measured school counseling curriculum competencies addressed in the lesson. Items 4-6 measured core academic competencies addressed in the lesson. The questionnaire was designed to assess lesson effectiveness in meeting objectives 1 and 3. Data from the questionnaire was analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics.

Results

Results indicated that the standards-blended lesson was effective in meeting both the school counseling curriculum objective 1 and the core academic curriculum objective 3. Knowledge development occurred on both the school counseling and academic curriculum contents for participants in all classrooms and for both subgroups, which was statistically significant as determined by paired sample t-tests (see Table 1). Independent t-tests indicated no significant differences between minority and non-minority proficiency levels on the core academic curriculum content at pre-lesson, t(101) = .201, p<.05, and post-lesson, t(101) = .970, p<.05, measures. This would indicate no achievement gaps between the two subgroups on the mathematical competency portion of the before lesson and after administration.

Discussion

This case study illustrates the significant impact of standards blending for teaching school counseling curriculum and reinforcing academic curriculum as evidenced by the significantly lower pre-lesson scores on the school counseling curriculum versus the academic curriculum. Although students had already been taught the mathematics presented in the lesson, there was a significant increase in academic proficiency as a result of the classroom guidance lesson.

This case study could not support the standards-blended lesson as a strategy for closing the achievement gap because pre-lesson data analysis did not detect an achievement gap among the second grade participants in the core academic curriculum presented. However, because the lesson was effective in developing minority student academic proficiency in mathematics in classrooms that consisted of both low and high achieving students, theoretically the lesson would be effective in closing an achievement gap between minority and non-minority 2nd grade elementary school students.

Direction for Further Study

Future studies to determine the effectiveness of standards-blended programs should include the evaluation of small group and individual

			—	7		0 1	-
Table 1:							
Participant Performance on Each Curriculum Component by Grade Level & Subpopulation							
Curriculum	Mean		Standard Deviation		N	% difference	t
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post			
Non-Minority							
School Counseling	1.2	2.6	.89	.61	50	117%	-9.268*
Academic	1.8	2.4	1.1	.88	50	33%	-4.818*
Blended	3.0	4.7	1.5	1.2	50	57%	9.610*
Minority							
School Counseling	.85	2.5	.86	.72	53	193%	1.327*
Academic	1.8	2.2	1.2	.93	53	27%	3.683*
Blended	2.6	4.7	1.6	1.4	53	81%	-10.915*
All 2nd Grade Students							
School Counseling	1.0	2.5	.89	.67	103	150%	-15.662*
Academic	1.7	2.3	1.1	.91	103	35%	5.985*
Blended	2.8	4.7	1.6	1.3	103	68%	-14.566*
* p< .001							

interventions for special needs, economically disadvantaged, and minority students who are not achieving at proficient levels. This would provide support for the effectiveness of standards-blended programs in contributing to academic achievement and closing the achievement gap.

Standards-blended program evaluations should be conducted at every grade level and linked to end-of-year standardized assessments and graduation rates. Other studies might consider developing and evaluating standards-blended programs that seek to enhance attendance, promotion, school safety, and parent involvement.

Future research should include both proximal and distal evaluation data; proximal data is essential to establishing a distal link. Program evaluations that collect and analyze multiple sources over data over time will provide the cross validation needed to establish and strengthen causal relationships between school counseling standards-blended programming and academic achievement.

Implications for School Counseling

Standards-blended programming strengthens the very nature of the specialty of counseling in the schools, defining the school counselor as both educational and mental health specialist, not solely a mental health specialist within the school setting. Effectively carrying out this dual role is vital to the future of the profession, which has been excluded from educational reform agendas and viewed as superfluous to the academic missions of schools. Documentation of the positive outcomes of standards-blended programs will help to ensure that school counselors are not left behind in future educational reform agendas. Standards blending provides school counselors and counselor educators with a direct, explicit approach for aligning school counseling programs with academic missions, fulfilling the role expectations of new vision school counselors and increasing academic achievement for all students.

Conclusion

Standards-blended programs have the potential to increase academic achievement, close achievement gaps, enhance psychosocial and career

development, and foster teacher-school counselor relationships, while solidifying school counseling programs as indispensable components of the schools' educational plan. Standards blending is an academic-focused approach for school counseling programming demonstrative of accountable practices that promote optimal learning.

References

- Akos, P., & Galassi, J. P. (2004). Training school counselors as developmental advocates.

 Counselor Education and Supervision, 43, 192-207.
- American School Counselor Association. (1987). School counseling: A profession at risk. Alexandria, VA: Author.
- American School Counselor Association. (2003). The ASCA national model: A framework for school counseling programs. Alexandria, VA: Author.
- Borders, L. (2002). School counseling in the 21st century: Personal and professional reflections. *Professional School Counseling*, 5, 180-186.
- Campbell, C.A., & Dahir, D.A. (1997). The national standards for school counseling programs. Alexandria, VA: American School Counselor Association.
- Council for Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs. (2001). CACREP accreditation standards and procedures manual (4th ed.). Alexandria, VA: Author.
- Dahir, C. A. (2004). Supporting a nation of learners: The role of school counseling in educational reform. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 82, 344-354.
- Education Trust, The (1997). The national guidance and counseling reform program. Washington, DC: Author.
- House, R. M., & Hayes, R. L. (2002). School counselors: Becoming key players in education reform. *Professional School Counseling*, 5, 249-256.
- Lambie, G. W., & Williamson, L. L. (2004). The challenge to change from guidance counseling to professional school counseling: A historical perspective. *Professional School Counseling*, 8, 124-132.

- Lenhardt, A. C. (2001). Proactive strategies for advancing elementary school counseling programs: A blueprint for the new millennium. *Professional School Counseling*, 4, 187-195.
- Martin, P. J. (2002). Transforming school counseling: A national perspective. *Theory into Practice*, 41, 148-154.
- Paisley, P. O., & Hayes, R. L. (2002). Transformations in school counselor preparation and practice. Counseling and Human Development, 35, 1-10.
- Pajares, F. (2001). Toward a positive psychology of academic motivation. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 95, 27-36.
- Perusse, R., Goodnough, G. E., Donegan, J., & Jones, C. (2004). Perceptions of school counselors and school principals about the national standards for school counseling programs and the transforming school counseling initiative. *Professional School Counseling*, 7, 152-162.
- Sears, S., & Granello, D. (2002). School counseling now and in the future: A reaction. *Professional* School Counseling, 5, 164-171.
- Stone, C. B., & Clark, M. A. (2001). School counselors and principals: Partners in support of academic achievement. *NASSP Bulletin*, 85, 46-53.
- U.S. Department of Education (2001). *The No child left behind act*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Vansteenkiste, M., Lens, W., & Deci, E. L. (2006). Intrinsic versus extrinsic goal contents in self-determination theory: Another look at the quality of academic motivation. *Educational Psychologist*, 41, 19-29.
- Virginia Department of Education (2004). Standards for school counseling programs in Virginia public schools. Richmond, VA: Author.
- Virginia Department of Education (2001). Standards of learning. Richmond, VA: Author.
- Winstead, L. (2004). Increasing academic motivation and cognition in reading, writing, and mathematics: Meaning-making strategies. *Educational Research Quarterly*, 28, 30-50.

Correspondence regarding this article should be directed to Dr. Rita Schellenberg at ritaschellenberg@verizon.net. Volume 29 2007

CO-EDITOR: Dr. Edward Cannon, Marymount University CO-EDITOR: Dr. Tammy Davis, Marymount University PAST-EDITOR: Dr. Victoria Foster, College of William & Mary

EDITORIAL REVIEW BOARD

Andrew Beale
Julie Chamberlain
Lennis Echterling
Tim Grothaus
Mary Hermann
Sharon Krumpe
Gerard Lawson
Rip McAdams
Sally Murphy
Ed Neukrug
Mark Rehfuss
Renee Staton

Virginia Commonwealth University
Prince William County Schools
James Madison University
Old Dominion University
Virginia Commonwealth University
College of William & Mary
Virginia Tech
College of William & Mary
George Mason University
Old Dominion University
Regent University
James Madison University

The VCA Counselors Journal is a publication of the Virginia Counselors Association.

and same a feet

Virginia Counselors Association 307 Blacksmith Arch Yorktown, VA 23693 (800) 225-8103 toll-free (757) 766-5466 office (757) 766-5467 fax

Email: vcaoffice@cox.net

Website: www.vcacounselors.org

VCA President: Lisa McDowell VCA President-Elect: Denise Jones VCA Past President: Terry Mullinnix Executive Director: Heidi Davis

Director of Member Services: Vicky Pelchy